

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/866,842	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YAO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> David M. Naff	<b>Art Unit</b> 1651	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) David M. Naff.

(2) Max Bachrach.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2004

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
None

Claims discussed:  
See examiner's amendment

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
Applicants authorized suggested changes of a formal nature that put claims in condition for allowance.

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)